

# To Determine Association and Assessment between Urinary Tract Infection and Urodynamic Examination Based on Urinalysis Results

SAIMA SHABBIR<sup>1</sup>; MASOOMA ZAHID<sup>2</sup>; AAMNA QAZI<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** To determine risk factors for urinary tract infection (UTI) after urodynamic examination by evaluating patients' clinical characteristics and urodynamic parameters.

**Methods:** Two hundred and sixty-one female patients (mean age 58.7±12.3 yrs) from May to December 2011 visited tertiary care hospital who had lower urinary tract symptoms or needed definite diagnosis before pelvic floor reconstruction or anti-incontinence surgery received urodynamic examination. All patients received urinalysis on the scheduled day of urodynamic examination and 3 days after urodynamic examination.

**Results:** Among 261 patients, 19 and 51 patients had UTI before and after urodynamic examination, respectively. Our data suggest that urodynamic examination causes significantly increased incidence of UTI. Increased number of vaginal births, UTI before investigation, diabetes and decreased average flow rate are risk factors for UTI after urodynamic examination.

**Conclusion:** When the prevalence of UTI after urodynamic examination is higher than 10%, we recommend that prophylactic antibiotics should be given for high-risk patients with parameters like D/M, old age and multi para(>3).

**Keywords:** Antibiotic; UTI; urinalysis

---

## INTRODUCTION

Urodynamic tests evaluate lower urinary tract and pelvic floor function and dysfunction, and also provide objective information about manometric, sensorimotor and neurophysiological parameters related to the bladder and pelvic floor<sup>2</sup>. Urodynamic examination is currently the best diagnostic investigation for female lower urinary tract dysfunction. It comprises uroflowmetry, cystometry or measurement of bladder pressure during bladder filling and voiding, urethral pressure profile, electromyography of the external urethral sphincter, pressure flow studies and video urodynamics.

However, urodynamic examination is still an invasive procedure that involves urethral catheterization; it has been shown that catheterization leads to a higher prevalence of urinary tract infections (UTIs) in female patients<sup>3</sup>. There is still no consensus on whether prophylactic antibiotics should be given before or after urodynamic examination. Yip et al<sup>3</sup> reported that age greater than 70 years, previous continence surgery and UTI before urodynamic investigation were three

independent risk factors for UTI caused by urodynamic examination and suggested that prophylactic antibiotics should be given to high-risk patients. Almallah et al<sup>4</sup> addressed the finding that risk of bacteriuria after flexible cystoscopy and urodynamic examination was low and prophylactic antibiotics were not routinely indicated. Moreover, Tong and Cheon's<sup>5</sup> study showed that the incidence of UTI after urodynamic examination was low, and bacteriuria were transient and asymptomatic in most cases. Furthermore, multichannel urodynamics were shown to be well-tolerated procedures; the risk of symptomatic UTI and complications after urodynamic examination was relatively low, based on a questionnaire study<sup>6</sup>. There is still no consensus on whether prophylactic antibiotics are necessary, even though in some studies prophylactic antibiotics were given to high-risk patients<sup>3,7</sup>.

In the present study, we wish to determine the exact risk factors for UTI caused by urodynamic examination based on urinalysis tests, and also to evaluate if it is necessary to give prophylactic antibiotics.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

We performed a prospective study, which included 261 female patients (mean age 58.7±12.3 years) from May to December 2011 visited tertiary care

---

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor & Consultant Gynecologist, KVSS Site Hospital, Karachi

<sup>2</sup>Consultant Gynecologist Sindh Government Hospital Karachi

<sup>3</sup>Resident DUHS Karachi

Correspondence to Dr. Saima Shabbir, Email: doctor.karachi@gmail.com

hospital. All patients received urodynamic examination owing to lower urinary tract symptoms or if they needed a definite diagnosis before pelvic floor reconstruction or anti-incontinence surgery. Informed consent on the risk and complication of the procedure was obtained. Data included age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), obstetric history, menopausal status, hormone replacement therapy or not, hysterectomy or not, surgical history of urinary incontinence, and personal medical history.

All patients received urinalyses on the scheduled day before urodynamic examination. Mid-stream urine samples were obtained for urinalyses before urodynamic examination. If patients had UTI based on our urinalysis criteria before urodynamic examination, the investigation was postponed until the patient had a 3-7 days antibiotic treatment and urinalysis results appeared normal. All women were evaluated with urodynamics using a standardized protocol in accordance with the Good Urodynamic Practices Guidelines of the International Continence Society<sup>11</sup>, which corresponds with the urodynamic procedure in a study by Chen et al<sup>12</sup>. Patients who did not follow our protocol were excluded. All patients received urinalysis evaluation 3–7 days after urodynamic examination.

Analyses were performed by using SPSS 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Characteristics of the patients were compared by UTI before and after urodynamic examination, respectively. These variables were expressed either as median with range (for continuous variable) or number with percentage (for categorical variable), and compared by using the Mann–Whitney U-test or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. To investigate the risk factor for UTI after the investigation, those variables whose *p*-value is less than 0.1 in former bivariate analyses were included in the multivariable stepwise logistic regression analysis. Noticeably, age was dichotomized with the cut-off point of 70 years rather than a continuous variable in the regression. With regard to the high correlation between parity and

vaginal births, only the latter was used in the multivariable regression model. For those variables significant in multivariable analysis, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were further conducted to discriminate UTI after investigation and to show various cut-off points.

## RESULTS

Two hundred and sixty female patients were enrolled in this study. They all received standard urodynamic examination. Among 261 patients, 51 had a UTI after investigation.

The characteristics before urodynamic examination by UTI history are summarized in Table 1. Most characteristics were alike between groups except for age, BMI, hormone use (ever or currently on hormone replacement therapy) and hypertension. Patients with UTI history tended to be elderly.

Table 2 illustrates the characteristics after urodynamic examination stratified by UTI groups. Those with UTI after examination tended to be elderly (69 years vs. 57 years,  $p < 0.001$ ), had higher parity (4 vs. 3,  $p < 0.001$ ) and a higher number of vaginal births (4 vs. 3,  $p < 0.001$ ), had a higher proportions of menopausal cases (86% vs. 71.8%,  $p = 0.046$ ), UTI before investigation (21.6% vs. 3.8%,  $p < 0.001$ ) and diabetes (29.4% vs. 11%,  $p = 0.002$ ) than those without UTI after examination (Table 2).

In the multivariable analysis, number of vaginal births, UTI before examination, diabetes and average of flow rate were significantly associated with UTI after examination. An increase in the number of vaginal births was associated with an elevated risk for UTI after examination by 1.46-fold odds ratio [95% confidence interval (CI)=1.13–1.88,  $p = 0.004$ ]. UTI before examination (UTI history) and diabetes were associated with increased risk of UTI after examination with an odds ratio of 5.49 (95% CI=1.74–17.29,  $p = 0.004$ ) and of 3.10 (95% CI: 1.35–7.14,  $p = 0.008$ ), respectively (Table 3).

**Table 1:**

| Variable                 | With UTI (n=19) | Without UTI (n=242) | <i>p</i> |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|
| Age (y)                  | 64 (42–85)      | 58 (23–84)          | 0.048    |
| Height (cm)              | 152 (142–166)   | 157(136–170)        | 0.056    |
| Weight (kg)              | 60 (50–74)      | 57 (41–124)         | 0.174    |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 25.4 (19–33)    | 23.6 (18–47)        | 0.004    |
| Parity (n)               | 3.1 (1–7)       | 3.1 (0–8)           | 0.232    |
| Vaginal births (n)       | 3.0 (0–7)       | 2.9 (0–8)           | 0.181    |
| Cesarean births (n)      | 0.1 (0–1)       | 0.2 (0–3)           | 0.925    |
| Age >70 y (%)            | 42.1            | 20.0                | 0.039    |
| Menopausal (%)           | 84.2            | 73.8                | 0.418    |
| Hormone use (%)          | 5.3             | 30.4                | 0.017    |
| Hysterectomy (%)         | 36.8            | 22.9                | 0.172    |
| Hypertension (%)         | 68.4            | 33.9                | 0.005    |
| Diabetes (%)             | 21.1            | 14.0                | 0.494    |

**Table 2:**

| Variable   | With UTI (n=51) | Without UTI (n=210) | p      |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|--------|
| Clinical characteristic                                |                 |                     |        |
| Age (y)  | 69 (34–85)      | 57 (23–84)          | <0.001 |
| Height (cm)  | 156 (142–170)   | 157 (136–168)       | 0.153  |
| Weight (kg)  | 58 (45–80)      | 58 (41–124)         | 0.820  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                               | 24.2 (19–31)    | 23.6 (18–47)        | 0.176  |
| Parity (n)   | 3.9 (1–8)       | 3.0 (0–7)           | <0.001 |
| Vaginal births (n)                                     | 3.7 (0–8)       | 2.8 (0–7)           | <0.001 |
| Cesarean births (n)                                    | 0.2 (0–2)       | 0.1 (0–3)           | 0.463  |
| Age≥70 y (%)   | 46.0            | 15.8                | <0.001 |
| Menopausal (%)   | 86.0            | 71.8                | 0.046  |
| Hormone use (%)  | 22.0            | 30.1                | 0.298  |
| Hysterectomy (%)                                       | 16.0            | 25.8                | 0.196  |
| UTI before urodynamic investigation (%)                | 21.6            | 3.8                 | <0.001 |
| Hypertension (%)                                       | 49.0            | 33.3                | 0.051  |
| Diabetes (%)   | 29.4            | 11.0                | 0.002  |
| Heart disease (%)                                      | 11.8            | 6.7                 | 0.241  |
| Urodynamic parameters                                  |                 |                     |        |
| Maximal flow rate (mL/s)                               | 17 (3–50)       | 21 (4–91)           | 0.001  |
| Average flow rate (mL/s)                               | 5 (1–15)        | 8 (1–24)            | <0.001 |
| Voided volume (mL)                                     | 199 (15–585)    | 277 (8–760)         | 0.003  |
| Residual urine (mL)                                    | 53 (0–420)      | 33 (3–622)          | 0.010  |
| First desire to void (mL)                              | 134 (67–271)    | 157 (1–356)         | 0.028  |
| Maximum capacity (mL)                                  | 322 (203–509)   | 343 (105–515)       | 0.421  |
| Maximum urethral closure pressure (cmH <sub>2</sub> O) | 58 (15–169)     | 69 (2–165)          | 0.082  |

**Table 3**

| Predictors                  | OR   | 95% CI of OR | P     |
|-----------------------------|------|--------------|-------|
| Number of vaginal births    | 1.46 | 1.13–1.88    | 0.004 |
| UTI before urodynamic study | 5.49 | 1.74–17.29   | 0.004 |
| Diabetes                    | 3.10 | 1.35–7.14    | 0.008 |
| Average flow rate (per SD)  | 0.52 | 0.33–0.82    | 0.005 |

## DISCUSSION

Urodynamic examination has been recommended as a routine procedure to confirm voiding dysfunctions both in men and women, especially before any surgical therapy<sup>7</sup>. UTI is the most common morbidity after urodynamic examination and its incidence ranges from 3.6% to 20%<sup>3,4,5,7,13</sup>. The prevalence of UTI before and after urodynamic examination in our study was 7% and 20%, which is a significant result based on our definition criteria of UTI. It implies that urodynamic examination, an invasive procedure, could indeed result in UTI. Most studies use urine culture as their diagnostic tool for UTI<sup>5,13,14</sup>. However, urine culture and sensitivities add cost and laboratory workload and make little difference in the treatment of uncomplicated UTI<sup>10</sup> because most UTIs caused by urodynamic examination tend to be uncomplicated. When the predictors for UTI after urodynamic examination in the urodynamic investigation are known, high-risk patients could be treated as soon as possible with prophylactic antibiotics after such examinations and would decrease morbidity related to urodynamic examination.

The finding of UTI before urodynamic examination is a predictor for UTI after urodynamic examination under the confirmation of normal

analysis of mid-stream urine, which is compatible with Yip et al's finding<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, preurodynamic investigation consultation should be made for patients who have UTIs. If the number of vaginal births is greater than four, there is sensitivity of 52.1% and specificity of 78.2% for UTI after urodynamic examination. More vaginal births would cause more pelvic floor trauma. With age, pelvic floor relaxation may result from old pelvic floor trauma, whereas pelvic floor relaxation is related to urine retention and UTI.

Poor bladder emptying may result in UTI. Bombieri et al<sup>14</sup> reported that maximal urinary flow rate ( $Q_{max}$ ) < 15 mL/second was associated with bacteriuria after urodynamic examination in three of 13 patients, although the result was not significant, but it constituted a trend. There was no significant correlation between voiding volume, post-voided residual urine volume or  $Q_{max}$  and UTI after urodynamic examination in our study. The non-significant result may be due to limited cases; more research and data collected will be needed in future studies.

Decreased average urinary flow rate ( $Q_{ave}$ ) was a predictor for UTI after urodynamic examination in our study. The less  $Q_{ave}$  patients had, the higher the incidence of UTI after urodynamic examination

patients contracted. This suggests that UTI was more likely to happen in patients who had poor urinary stream and the reason might be related to bladder outlet obstruction or detrusor underactivity. The etiologies of female bladder outlet obstruction may vary<sup>15</sup>. Detrusor underactivity increases with age. Underactive detrusor function can predict the possibility of urinary retention and symptoms of obstruction. In one study, the relative loss of urethral wall compliance may be responsible for obstruction in the urethra in postmenopausal women.

Diabetic patients tend to have UTI. Invasive procedures involving multiple catheterizations result in more UTI in these patients. As far as prophylactic antibiotics are concerned, Baker and colleagues reported their experience in a blind, randomized study in 124 female patients and showed that prophylactic antibiotics were not effective in preventing UTI caused by urodynamic examination. Kartal et al recommended antibiotic prophylaxis for patients undergoing urodynamics in their blind, randomized study. Even though some studies did point out that prophylactic antibiotics could be given to high-risk patients such as older patients, with a previous history of urinary incontinence and UTI before urodynamic examination, prophylactic antibiotics are not routinely indicated<sup>3,4</sup>. In a systemic review which evaluated the effectiveness and safety of prophylactic antibiotics in urodynamic examination, the use of prophylactic antibiotics in urodynamic examination reduced the risk of significant bacteriuria; however, its value in reducing symptomatic UTI remains unknown. In recent studies, antibiotic prophylaxis was not recommended for urodynamic examination in women at low risk, unless the incidence of UTI after urodynamic examination was greater than 10%.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, when the prevalence of UTI after urodynamic examination is higher than 10%, we recommend that prophylactic antibiotics may be given to high-risk patients with parameters such as older age, diabetes, and multiparity (>3). It is suggested that patients who have had a UTI before urodynamic examination and are found to have low

$Q_{ave} < 7 \text{ mL/second}$  may take prophylactic antibiotics after examination

## REFERENCES

1. Robertson TM, Hamlin AS. Urodynamics. *Crit Care NursClin North Am* 2010;22:109e20.
2. Bradley CS, Smith KE, Kreder KJ. Urodynamic evaluation of the bladder and pelvic floor. *GastroenterolClin North Am* 2008;37:539e52. vii.
3. Yip SK, Fung K, Pang MW, Leung P, Chan D, Sahota D. A study of female urinary tract infection caused by urodynamic investigation. *Am J ObstetGynecol* 2004;190:1234e40.
4. Almallah YZ, Rennie CD, Stone J, Lancashire MJ. Urinary tract infection and patient satisfaction after flexible cystoscopy and urodynamic evaluation. *Urology* 2000;56:37e9.
5. Tong AWM, Cheon WC. Urinary tract infection after urodynamic study in women. *Hong Kong J GynaecolObstet Midwifery* 2005;5:22e5.
6. Yokoyama T, Nozaki K, Nose H, Inoue M, Nishiyama, Kumon H. Tolerability and morbidity of urodynamic testing: a questionnaire-based study. *Urology* 2005;66:74e6.
7. Onur R, Ozden M, Orhan I, Kalkan A, Semercioz A. Incidence of bacteraemia after urodynamic study. *J Hosp Infect* 2004;57:241e4.
8. Faro S, Fenner DE. Urinary tract infections. *ClinObstetGynecol* 1998;41:744e54.
9. Mehnert-Kay SA. Diagnosis and management of uncomplicated urinary tract infections. *Am Fam Physician* 2005;72:451e6.
10. Litza JA, Brill JR. Urinary tract infections. *Prim Care* 2010;37:491e507. viii.
11. Schafer W, Abrams P, Liao L, Mattiasson A, Pesce F, Spangberg A, et al. Good urodynamic practices: uroflowmetry, filling cystometry, and pressure-flow studies. *NeurourolUrodyn* 2002;21:261e74.
12. Chen CW, Huang KH, Liang HM, Hsu HT, Kung FT. A comparison of urodynamic parameters and lower urinary tract symptoms in urodynamic genuine stress urinary incontinence. *Chang Gung Med J* 2004;27:594e601.
13. Okorocho I, Cumming G, Gould I. Female urodynamics and lower urinary tract infection. *BJU Int* 2002;89:863e7.
14. Bombieri L, Dance DA, Rienhardt GW, Waterfield A, Freeman RM. Urinary tract infection after urodynamic studies in women: incidence and natural history. *BJU Int* 1999;83:392e5.
15. Dmochowski RR. Bladder outlet obstruction: etiology and evaluation. *Rev Urol* 2005;7(Suppl. 6):S3e13.